## Lecture\#7

Artificial Neural Networks

## Linear Classifier

- We will begin by implementing a linear classifier
- It will have two major components:
- A score function that maps the data to categories
- A loss function that calculates the difference between predicted categories and actual categories in the dataset
- The loss function will be used for training the classifier


## Score Function

## Score Function

- We have a linear function:

$$
w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+w_{n} x_{n}+b=0
$$

- $X$ is the input data, with one value $x_{i}$ for each attribute
- Each attribute is multiplied by a weight $w_{i}$
- And finally a bias $b$ is added
- So the linear function doesn't have to cross the origin
- The linear function is used to separate categories:


## Score Function



## Linear Separation

- As the name implies, the linear classificer can only separate linearly separable categories
- It will never be $100 \%$ accurate if we have a dataset that looks like this:


## Linear Separation



## Score Function

- If we calculate the score function:

$$
w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+w_{n} x_{n}+b=0
$$

- ... for an instance we see the confidence that the example belongs to the category
- Higher values = more confidence
- This is our score function!
- What if we have more than one category?


## Mutiple Categories

- If we have two or more categories, we need one linear function for each category:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{11} x_{11}+w_{12} x_{12}+\ldots+w_{1 n} x_{1 n}+b_{1}=0 \\
& w_{21} x_{21}+w_{22} x_{22}+\ldots+w_{2 n} x_{2 n}+b_{2}=0 \\
& \ldots \\
& w_{k 1} x_{k 1}+w_{k 2} x_{k 2}+\ldots+w_{k n} x_{k n}+b_{k}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- The most efficient way to calculate the score function is to use matrix/vector operations:


## Score Function

- The weights can be seen as a matrix:

$$
\boldsymbol{W}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13} & \ldots & w_{1 n} \\
w_{21} & w_{22} & w_{23} & \ldots & w_{2 n} \\
\ldots & & & & \\
w_{k 1} & w_{k 2} & w_{k 3} & \ldots & w_{k n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- ... and the bias and example as column vectors:

$$
\boldsymbol{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
\cdots \\
\hdashline b_{k}
\end{array}\right] \quad \boldsymbol{x}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\cdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Score Function

- Calculating the score function is then a matrix-vector multiplication plus addition:

$$
f\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{b}\right)=\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\boldsymbol{b}
$$

- This produces a vector with one confidence value for each category
- The example is classified as the category with the highest confidence:

$$
y_{\text {pred }}=\operatorname{argmax}(\text { scores })
$$

## How it works

- Assume we have two categories and three inputs:

$$
\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13} \\
w_{21} & w_{22} & w_{23}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{11} x_{1}+w_{12} x_{2}+w_{13} x_{3} \\
w_{21} x_{1}+w_{22} x_{2}+w_{23} x_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- ... and with the bias vector:

$$
\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}+\boldsymbol{b}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{11} x_{1}+w_{12} x_{2}+w_{13} x_{3} \\
w_{21} x_{1}+w_{22} x_{2}+w_{23} x_{3}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{11} x_{1}+w_{12} x_{2}+w_{13} x_{3}+b_{1} \\
w_{21} x_{1}+w_{22} x_{2}+w_{23} x_{3}+b_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- This is actually the dot-product of $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ with each row in W
- Number of columns in W must be equal to the number of components in $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$


## How it works

- We don't even need to split the input data $\mathbf{X}$ into columns
- When calculating a product between matrices $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{X}$, we can see $\mathbf{X}$ as a bunch of lined up column vectors:

$$
\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{X}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13} \\
w_{21} & w_{22} & w_{23}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{11} \\
x_{12} \\
x_{13}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{21} \\
x_{22} \\
x_{23}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- This results in a new matrix:

$$
\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
w_{11} x_{11}+w_{12} x_{12}+w_{13} x_{13} & w_{11} x_{21}+w_{12} x_{22}+w_{13} x_{23} \\
w_{21} x_{11}+w_{22} x_{12}+w_{23} x_{13} & w_{21} x_{21}+w_{22} x_{22}+w_{23} x_{23}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## How it works

- The bias vector $\mathbf{b}$ is then added to each column:

$$
\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{b}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
w_{11} x_{11}+w_{12} x_{12}+w_{13} x_{13}+b_{1} & w_{11} x_{21}+w_{12} x_{22}+w_{13} x_{23}+b_{1} \\
w_{21} x_{11}+w_{22} x_{12}+w_{23} x_{13}+b_{2} & w_{21} x_{21}+w_{22} x_{22}+w_{23} x_{23}+b_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Now we have a matrix where each column is a score vector for an example $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in $\mathbf{X}$
- Taking argmax for each column produces a row vector with the predicted category for each example:

$$
\boldsymbol{Y}_{\text {pred }}=\left[\operatorname{argmax}\left(\text { scores }_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \quad \operatorname{argmax}\left(\text { scores }_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right]
$$

## Simple example

Image is converted to pixel vector (only 4 pixels used)


This is clearly a dog...
The weights need to be modified (learned) to produce correct output!

## Simple example

Image is converted to pixel vector (only 4 pixels used)


Now we get correct output!
How can we automatically learn weights from training data?

## Loss Function

- First, we need to define a loss function
- Sometimes called cost function or objective
- The loss function measures how happy we are with the result
- The first set of weights gave a poor prediction - we are not happy
- The second set of weights gave a good prediction - we are happy!
- The loss will be high for bad predictions, and low for good predictions
- There are many loss functions, but we will focus on Softmax


## Softmax

- Softmax calculates the normalized probabilities for belonging to each category
- This is then combined to a single loss value: crossentropy loss


## Softmax

- The loss $L_{i}$ is calculated as:

$$
L_{i}=-\log \left(\frac{e^{f_{y i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{f_{j}}}\right)
$$

- We calculate the log probability for the correct category efyi and normalize by dividing with the sum of log probabilities for all categories
- Finally we calculate the negative natural logarithm of the normalized log probability for the correct class


## Example



## Matrix Multiplication

| $\mathbf{W}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 | | -15 |
| :---: |
| 22 |
| -44 |
| 56 |


$=$| $=$ dot-product of row 1 in W and column $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| :--- |
| $=$ dot-product of row 2 in W and column $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |
|  |
| $=$ dot-product of row 3 in W and column $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ |

## Matrix Multiplication

| $\mathbf{W}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \begin{tabular}{\|c|c|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} $\mathbf{\mathbf { x } _ { \mathbf { i } }}$ |  |  |  |
| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 | | -15 |
| :---: |
| 22 |
| -44 |
| 56 |


$=$| $=0.01^{*}-15-0.05 * 22+0.1^{*}-44+0.05 * 56=-2.85$ |
| :---: |
| $=0.7^{*}-15+0.2^{*} 22+0.05^{*}-44+0.16 * 56=0.66$ |
| $=0^{*}-15-0.45 * 22-0.2^{*}-44+0.03 * 56=0.58$ |

## Matrix Addition

| W |  |  | $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 |
| -15 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| -44 |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |$=$| -2.85 |
| :---: |
| 0.66 |
| 0.58 |$+$| 0.0 |
| :---: |
| 0.2 |
| -0.3 |$=$| -2.85 |
| :---: |
| 0.86 |
| 0.28 |

Simply add each element of vector $\mathbf{b}$

## Numerical Stability

- If we have very high scores, calculating efj and then sum all the values can lead to numerical problems
- The sum can blowup, i.e. we get outside the range of double
- This can be solved by shifting all scores so that the highest score is 0 :
- Find max(scores)
- Subtract max(scores) for each score


## Regularization

- Suppose we have a perfect set of weights: loss $=0.0$
- The problem is that this set might not be unique!
- There can be multiple sets of weights that give the same loss
- To distinct between two such sets, we extend the loss function with a regularization penalty:

$$
L=\underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} L_{i}}_{\text {data loss }}+\underbrace{\lambda R(W)}_{\text {regularization loss }}
$$

## Regularization

- The most common one is the L2 norm, which penalizes large weights
- Large weights can lead to numerical overflow...
- Small weights improve generalization and reduces overflow
- The L2 norm is calculated as the squared sum of all weights:

$$
R(W)=\sum_{k} \sum_{l} w_{k, l}^{2}
$$

- The lambda parameter is called the reqularization strength, and is typically set to a low value such as 0.01


## Example



## Example



Loss = Data loss + regularization loss
$=1.04+0.01^{*} 0.8166=1.048$

## Example

| W |  | b | X |  | y | scores |  |  | $\frac{L}{0.56}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0 | 1.30 | -0.10 | 0.60 |  |
| 2.00 | -4.00 | 0.50 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0 | 1.40 | 0.90 | 1.60 | 1.04 |
| 3.00 | -1.00 | -0.50 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0 | 1.90 | -2.10 | -0.40 | 0.11 |
| Squared W |  |  | -0.40 | 0.30 | 1 | 0.20 | -1.50 | -2.00 | 1.96 |
|  |  |  | -0.30 | 0.70 | 1 | 1.10 | -2.90 | -2.10 | 4.06 |
| 1.0 | 4.0 |  | -0.70 | 0.20 | 1 | -0.30 | -1.70 | -2.80 | 1.68 |
| 4.0 | 16.0 |  | 0.70 | -0.40 | 2 | -0.10 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 1.72 |
| 9.0 | 1.0 |  | 0.50 | -0.60 | 2 | -0.70 | 3.90 | 1.60 | 2.40 |
|  |  |  | -0.40 | -0.50 | 2 | -1.40 | 1.70 | -1.20 | 3.00 |
| sum | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda$ | 0.01 |  | Data loss: |  |  | 1.84 |  | mean | 1.84 |
|  |  |  | Regularization loss: |  |  | 0.35 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total loss: |  |  | 2.19 |  |  |  |

## Optimization

## Optimization

- The loss function quantifies the quality of a set of weights
- The goal of optimization, or learning, is to find a set of weights that minimizes the loss function
- This can of course be done with random search or hill climbing, but it will most likely take ages to find a good set of weights
- Instead we can compute the best direction using the gradient of the loss function!


## Gradient

- The task is to computer the best direction in which we should change the weights
- This direction turns out to be related to the gradient of the loss function
- The gradient is a vector of slopes (derivatives) for each dimension in the input space
- Mathematically, the derivative of a 1-D function with respect to its (single) input is:

$$
\frac{d f(x)}{d x}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

## Gradient

- If we have a function that takes a vector of numbers instead of a single number, the derivatives are called partial derivatives
- The gradient is simply the vector of partial derivatives in each input dimension
- We can do this in two ways:
- Numerical gradient: slow and approximate
- Analytic gradient: fast and exact but error-prone
- Since speed is important, we will focus on the analytic gradient


## Analytic Gradient

- To find the analytic gradient, we need to derive a formula for the gradient using our math skills
- Luckily, the loss functions we use are well known and we don't have to find the formula on our own
- Depending on the loss function, the formula can be quite complex to implement
- How can we implement the gradients formula for Softmax?


## Softmax Gradients

| W |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | b | scores |  | $e^{f j}$ |  | normalize |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 | -15 | 0.0 |  | -2.85 |  | 0.058 |  | 0.016 |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 22 | 0.2 | $=$ | 0.86 | $\rightarrow$ | 2.36 | $\rightarrow$ | 0.632 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 | -44 | -0.3 |  | 0.28 |  | 1.32 |  | 0.353 |

This is what we have already done when calculating loss

## Softmax Gradients



## Softmax Gradients

| dscores |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{T}}$ |
| 0.016    <br> 0.632    <br> -0.647    <br> -15 22 -44 56 |

Multiply dscores with the transpose of $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$

## Multiply column and row vector

| dscores | $\mathbf{X i}^{\mathbf{T}}$ |  |  |  | $=$ | $=0.016$ *-15 | $=0.016$ * 22 | $=0.016$ *-44 | $=0.016$ * 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.016 | -15 | 22 | -44 | 56 |  | $=-0.23$ | = 0.34 | $=-0.68$ | $=0.87$ |
| 0.632 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} =0.632 *-15 \\ =-9.47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} =0.632 * 22 \\ =13.89 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} = & 0.632 *-44 \\ = & -27.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} =0.632 * 56 \\ =35.35 \end{gathered}$ |
| -0.647 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & =-0.647^{*} \\ & -15=9.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} = & -0.647 * 22 \\ & =-14.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} =-0.647^{*} \\ -44=28.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} = & -0.647 * 56 \\ & =-36.21 \end{aligned}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}_{0,0}=\text { dscores }_{0}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Xdi}_{0}^{\top}{ }_{0}^{\top} \\
& \mathrm{M}_{0,1}=\text { dscores }_{0}{ }^{*} \mathrm{X}_{i}^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Softmax Gradients

dscores

| 0.016 |
| :---: |
| 0.632 |
| -0.647 |$=$| 0.016 |
| :---: |
| 0.632 |
| -0.647 |$=$| 0.016 |
| :---: |
| 0.632 |
| -0.647 |

Sum the values of all rows in dscores into a new vector

## Softmax Gradients

dW

| -0.23 | 0.34 | -0.68 | 0.87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -9.47 | 13.89 | -27.77 | 35.35 |
| 9.70 | -14.23 | 28.45 | -36.21 |$\quad$| 0.016 |
| :---: |
| 0.632 |
| -0.647 |

Now we have the gradients!

## What if we have multiple input examples?

## Multiple training examples



## Multiple training examples

## dscores

| 0.016 | 0.149 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.632 | -0.353 |
| -0.647 | 0.205 |

Update the score for the correct categories $y_{i}$ by -1

## Multiple training examples

dscores

| 0.0078 | 0.074 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.316 | -0.177 |
| -0.323 | 0.102 |

Divide by number of training examples (2 in this case)

## Multiple training examples

| dscores |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{\top}}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{d W}$ |
| 0.0078 0.074   <br> 0.316 -0.177   <br> -0.323 0.102   <br> -15 22 -44 56 <br> 8 -12 14 -5$\quad=$0.479 -0.722 0.701 0.061 <br> -6.147 9.063 -16.359 18.556 <br> 5.669 -8.341 15.659 -18.617 |  |  |  |

Multiply dscores with the transpose of $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$

## Softmax Gradients

dscores

| 0.0078 | 0.074 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.316 | -0.177 |
| -0.323 | 0.102 |$=$| $0.0078+0.074$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.316-0.177$ |
| $-0.323+0.102$ |$=$| 0.082 |
| :---: |
| 0.139 |
| -0.221 |

Sum the values of all rows in dscores into a new vector

## Regularization

- We also need to add a regularization factor to the weight gradients dW
- This is done by adding the weight matrix $\mathbf{W}$ scaled by lambda/2 to dW
- Let's go back to our first example with a single training example:


## Regularization Factor

dW

| -0.23 | 0.34 | -0.68 | 0.87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -9.47 | 13.89 | -27.77 | 35.35 |
| 9.70 | -14.23 | 28.45 | -36.21 |$+$| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 |
| $\boldsymbol{\lambda} * \boldsymbol{0} .5$ |  |  |  |

$$
d W+W * \lambda * 0.5
$$

$=$| -0.2317 | 0.3396 | -0.6793 | 0.8654 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -9.4639 | 13.8866 | -27.7709 | 35.3459 |
| 9.6992 | -14.2277 | 28.4500 | -36.2102 |

dB is not changed

## Weights Upgrades

- The weights are upgraded by subtracting dW multiplied by a learning rate
- The learning rate is typically set to a low value such as 0.1 or 0.05
- The best learning rate for each dataset has to be discovered by trial and error...


## Weights Upgrades

| W |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 |

dW

| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 |$-\quad$| -0.2317 | 0.3396 | -0.6793 | 0.8654 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -9.4639 | 13.8866 | -27.7709 | 35.3459 |
| 9.6992 | -14.2277 | 28.4500 | -36.2102 |$\quad * \mathbf{0 . 1} \quad=$

newW

$=$| 0.033 | -0.084 | 0.168 | -0.037 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.646 | -1.189 | 2.827 | -3.375 |
| -0.970 | 0.973 | -3.045 | 3.651 |

## Bias Upgrades

| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{d B}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 |  |
| 0.0 |  |
| 0.2 |  |
| -0.3 |  |$\quad$| 0.082 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.139 |
| -0.221 |

If we calculate the loss, it has decreased from 1.04 to 0.48

## Back to our previous example

| W |  | b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| 2.00 | -4.00 | 0.50 |
| 3.00 | -1.00 | -0.50 |


| X |  | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.50 | 0.40 | 0 |
| 0.80 | 0.30 | 0 |
| 0.30 | 0.80 | 0 |
| -0.40 | 0.30 | 1 |
| -0.30 | 0.70 | 1 |
| -0.70 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 0.70 | -0.40 | 2 |
| 0.50 | -0.60 | 2 |
| -0.40 | -0.50 | 2 |

scores

| 1.30 | -0.10 | 0.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.40 | 0.90 | 1.60 |
| 1.90 | -2.10 | -0.40 |
| 0.20 | -1.50 | -2.00 |
| 1.10 | -2.90 | -2.10 |
| -0.30 | -1.70 | -2.80 |
| -0.10 | 3.50 | 2.00 |
| -0.70 | 3.90 | 1.60 |
| -1.40 | 1.70 | -1.20 |$\quad$| 0.56 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.04 |
| 0.11 |
| 1.96 |
| 4.06 |
| 1.68 |
| 1.72 |
| 2.40 |
| 3.00 |

Let's calculate the gradients!

Data loss:
1.84
mean
1.84

Regularization loss: 0.35
Total loss: $\quad 2.19$

## Example - iteration 0

| W |  | b | X |  | y | scores |  |  | $\frac{L}{0.56}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0 | 1.30 | -0.10 | 0.60 |  |
| -0.20 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0 | 1.40 | 0.90 | 1.60 | 1.04 |
| 2.00 | -4.00 | 0.50 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0 | 1.90 | -2.10 | -0.40 | 0.11 |
| 0.24 | -0.27 | 0.04 | -0.40 | 0.30 | 1 | 0.20 | -1.50 | -2.00 | 1.96 |
| 3.00 | -1.00 | -0.50 | -0.30 | 0.70 | 1 | 1.10 | -2.90 | -2.10 | 4.06 |
| -0.01 | 0.19 | -0.19 | -0.70 | 0.20 | 1 | -0.30 | -1.70 | -2.80 | 1.68 |
|  |  |  | 0.70 | -0.40 | 2 | -0.10 | 3.50 | 2.00 | 1.72 |
|  |  |  | 0.50 | -0.60 | 2 | -0.70 | 3.90 | 1.60 | 2.40 |
|  |  |  | -0.40 | -0.50 | 2 | -1.40 | 1.70 | -1.20 | 3.00 |
|  |  |  |  | Data |  | 1.84 |  | mean | 1.84 |
|  |  |  |  | Regul | ion loss: | 0.35 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total lo |  | 2.19 |  |  |  |

## Example - iteration 1

| W |  | $\frac{\mathbf{b}}{\frac{-0.02}{0.15}}$ | X |  | y | scores |  |  | $\frac{\mathbf{L}}{0.56}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1.02 \\ -0.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.99 \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.02 \\ 0.15 \end{gathered}$ | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0 | 1.29 | -0.10 | 0.61 |  |
|  |  |  | 0.80 | 0.30 | 0 | 1.40 | 0.89 | 1.61 | 1.04 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \\ & 0.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.97 \\ & -0.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.50 \\ 0.04 \end{array}$ | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0 | 1.89 | -2.09 | -0.40 | 0.11 |
|  |  |  | -0.40 | 0.30 | 1 | 0.17 | -1.49 | -1.99 | 1.93 |
| $\begin{gathered} 3.00 \\ -0.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.02 \\ 0.19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.48 \\ & -0.18 \end{aligned}$ | -0.30 | 0.70 | 1 | 1.07 | -2.88 | -2.09 | 4.01 |
|  |  |  | -0.70 | 0.20 | 1 | -0.33 | -1.68 | -2.79 | 1.65 |
|  |  |  | 0.70 | -0.40 | 2 | -0.10 | 3.47 | 2.03 | 1.68 |
|  |  | 0.50 | -0.60 | 2 | -0.70 | 3.87 | 1.63 | 2.35 |  |
|  |  | -0.40 | -0.50 | 2 | -1.42 | 1.69 | -1.17 | 1.96 |  |
|  |  |  | Data loss: |  |  | 1.81 |  | mean | 1.81 |
|  |  | Regularization loss: | 0.35 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total loss: | 2.16 |  |  |  |  |

## Gradient Descent

- The procedure of repeatedly evaluating the gradients and perform weights updates is call Gradient Descent
- It is the most common way of optimizing/training linear classifiers, and also Neural Networks which we will look into shortly
- We can also train on batches of the training examples instead of all examples
- Mini-batch Gradient Descent
- Or we can train on one example at a time
- Stochastic Gradient Descent


## Overview of information flow



## Linear Softmax classifier

- Now we have a complete linear Softmax classifier
- Let's see how well it works on the example data:

| X |  | y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.50 | 0.40 | 0 |
| 0.80 | 0.30 | 0 |
| 0.30 | 0.80 | 0 |
| -0.40 | 0.30 | 1 |
| -0.30 | 0.70 | 1 |
| -0.70 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 0.70 | -0.40 | 2 |
| 0.50 | -0.60 | 2 |
| -0.40 | -0.50 | 2 |

## Linear Softmax classifier

| $\lambda: 0.01$ <br> Lrate: 1.0 | Iteration | Loss | Acc |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.19 | 2/9 | 22.2\% |
|  | 1 | 1.91 | 2/9 | 22.2\% |
|  | 2 | 1.67 | 2/9 | 22.2\% |
|  | 3 | 1.49 | 3/9 | 33.3\% |
|  | 4 | 1.34 | 4/9 | 44.4\% |
|  | 5 | 1.22 | 5/9 | 55.6\% |
|  | 6 | 1.11 | 6/9 | 66.7\% |
|  | 7 | 1.03 | 6/9 | 66.7\% |
|  | 8 | 0.96 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 9 | 0.90 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 10 | 0.85 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 11 | 0.81 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 12 | 0.77 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 13 | 0.74 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 14 | 0.71 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 15 | 0.69 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 16 | 0.67 | 7/9 | 77.8\% |
|  | 17 | 0.66 | 8/9 | 88.9\% |
|  | 18 | 0.64 | 8/9 | 88.9\% |
|  | 19 | 0.63 | 9/9 | 100\% |

## Iris dataset

| Iteration | Loss |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1.0711 |
| 40 | 0.6935 |
| 80 | 0.5791 |
| 120 | 0.4842 |
| 160 | 0.4052 |
| 200 | 0.3655 |
| 240 | 0.3603 |
| 280 | 0.3591 |
| 300 | 0.3592 |

$\lambda: 0.01$
Lrate: 0.1
Iterations: 300

| Final Result |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loss: | 0.3591 |  |
| Accuracy | $147 / 150$ | $98 \%$ |

## How can we expand this into a Neural Network?

## Current network layout



We have a single layer, the output layer

Inputs
Softmax output layer

## Current network layout



Inputs
Softmax output layer

## Current network layout



We have a network with two input units and three output units

Softmax output layer

## Limitations



Even if this is a quite powerful classifier, it can only handle categories that are linearly separable!

## Limitations



## Layered network



Inputs
Expand with a layer of hidden nodes

Softmax output layer

## Layered network



The layered (neural) network can learn categories that are not linearly separable!

## Unit



Each unit has its own set of inputs, a weight for each input and a bias.

The output (score) can act as input to units in another layer.

## Score Function



The input data x is the input to the hidden layer
The scores of the hidden layer is input to the output layer

## Hidden Layer Units

- In the output layer we used the Softmax function
- In the hidden layer we need a slightly different type of activation function
- There is a wide range we can choose from:
- Sigmoid
- Tanh
- ReLU
- Here, we will use the ReLU function


## ReLU

- The ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) calculates the function:

$$
f(x)=\max (0, x)
$$

- First, the weighted sum of the inputs plus the bias is calculated (as we've done before)
- Then, the activation function is applied on the result


## Score Function



## Loss Function

- The loss function/gradients are slightly more complex
- We need to calculate the loss and gradients for the output layer first (in the same way as we did before)
- The gradients are then backpropagated into the hidden layer
- The loss for both layers are summed


## Loss Function

Output Layer

| $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{0}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.02 | 0.03 | -0.1 |
| 0.3 | 0.5 | -0.05 |
| 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.3 |



## Gradients



## Gradients

Output Layer


## Gradients

Output Layer


## Loss Function

Hidden Layer


## Gradients

Hidden Layer


## Gradients

Hidden


## Gradients

Hidden Layer


## Regularization

- Regularization is added to loss and gradients in the output and hidden layer as before
- The total loss is the loss for the output plus the loss for the hidden layer


## Weights Upgrades

| $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{h}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.16 |
| 0.0 | -0.45 | -0.2 | 0.03 |

$\mathbf{d W} \mathbf{h}$

| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.90 | 2.79 | -5.59 | 7.11 |
| -2.43 | 3.56 | 7.13 | 9.07 |

newW ${ }_{\text {h }}$

$=$| 0.01 | -0.05 | 0.10 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.89 | -0.08 | 0.61 | -0.55 |
| 0.24 | -0.81 | 0.51 | -0.88 |

## Bias Upgrades



|  | new $_{\mathbf{h}}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $=$0.0 <br> 0.19 <br> -0.32 |  |

## Summary

- The linear classifier has now been extended to contain a hidden layer with ReLU nodes
- The hidden layer enables the classifier to learn categories that are not linearly separable


## Non-linearly separable categories



